WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SKB LANSING LANDFILL

Date:	Inspector:	FU!	-1				
Time: 12:45 Weather Conditions: Wind, 38							
		Yes	No	Notes			
CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84)							
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or			·			
	localized settlement observed on the	:					
	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing	ė		[t			
·	CCR?						
· 2.	Were conditions observed within the cells						
	containing CCR or within the general landfill						
	operations that represent a potential disruption						
	to ongoing CCR management operations?		, , , , ,				
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or		1/				
	within the general landfill operations that						
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of						
	the CCR management operations.						
CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))							
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting						
	period? If answer is no, no additional	1/					
	information required.	-					
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust		. /	lilla sola			
	suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?			DOTTOMASI			
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR		<i>/</i> ··				
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to		1				
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not	1/					
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?						
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on		. /				
	landfill access roads?						
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the						
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe						
	corrective action measures below.			· ·			
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control	. /					
	measures effective? If the answer is no,						
	describe recommended changes below.		/				
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen	•					
	complaints received during the reporting		1 1/ 1				
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question						
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?			11.4			
			1				
Additiona	d Notes:						

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SKR LANSING LANDFILL

Date:_	Inspector: V	ia	~				
Time:_	7 30 Weather Conditions:	<u>.00\</u>	834				
		Yes	No	Notes			
CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84)							
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?	:					
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?						
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.						
CCR F	ugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)	(4))					
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	i	are in the second				
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?		. 2	Batton 18h			
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?	j					
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?		U				
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.		v				
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.						
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	•	:/	(.0			
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?			NH			
Additio	nal Notes:						